

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FT | LING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|------|------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 09/746,732 | ı | 2/21/2000 | Waldemar Kiener | (K) 54 039 | 6906 |
| | 7590 | 08/07/2002 | | | |
| M. Robert K | | | EXAMINER | | |
| 11011 Bermuda Dunes NE Albuquerque, NM 87111 | | | | GOFF II, I | OHN L |
| | | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | | 1733 | 0 |
| | | | | DATE MAILED: 08/07/2002 | 7 |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | | ms ms |
|---|--|--|---|
| | | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
| | Office Rediens Occurred | 09/746,732 | KIENER ET AL. |
| | Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | | John L. Goff | 1733 |
| Period fo | • • | | |
| THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu - Any | ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION asions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a report of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statutely received by the Office later than three months after the mailed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | 136(a). In no event, however, mar ply within the statutory minimum of d will apply and will expire SIX (6) N te, cause the application to become | r a reply be timely filed thirty (30) days will be considered timely. MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. |
| 1)⊠ | Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 | December 2000 . | |
| 2a) <u></u> □ | This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ 7 | his action is non-final. | |
| 3) <u> </u> | Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice unde on of Claims | vance except for formal r r <i>Ex parte Quayl</i> e, 1935 | natters, prosecution as to the merits is C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. |
| 4)🖂 | Claim(s) $\underline{1-24}$ is/are pending in the application | on. | |
| | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr | awn from consideration. | |
| 5)[| Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | |
| 6)[| Claim(s) is/are rejected. | | |
| 7) | Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | |
| 8) 🏻 | Claim(s) 1-24 are subject to restriction and/or | election requirement. | |
| Applicati | on Papers | | |
| 9) 🗌 🤈 | The specification is objected to by the Examin | er. | |
| 10) 🔲 🗀 | The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acc | epted or b) objected to b | y the Examiner. |
| | Applicant may not request that any objection to t | he drawing(s) be held in ab | eyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). |
| 11) 🗌 - | The proposed drawing correction filed on | _ is: a)□ approved b)□ | disapproved by the Examiner. |
| _ | If approved, corrected drawings are required in re | , • | |
| 12) 🔲 - | The oath or declaration is objected to by the E | xaminer. | |
| Priority u | nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 | | |
| 13) | Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig | n priority under 35 U.S.C | C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). |
| a)[| ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: | | |
| | 1. Certified copies of the priority documen | ts have been received. | |
| | 2. Certified copies of the priority documen | ts have been received in | Application No |
| | 3. Copies of the certified copies of the pricapplication from the International Bee the attached detailed Office action for a lis | ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a) |). |
| | cknowledgment is made of a claim for domes | · | |
| a) | ☐ The translation of the foreign language procknowledgment is made of a claim for domes | ovisional application has | been received. |
| Attachment | (s) | | |
| 2) 🔲 Notice | e of References Citec (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) | 5) Notice | w Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| . Patent and Tra O-326 (Rev | | ction Summary | Part of Paper No. 7 |

Application/Control Number: 09/746,732

Art Unit: 1733

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claims 1-12, drawn to a process for producing a laminate, classified in class 156, subclass 272.2.
 - II. Claims 13-24, drawn to an apparatus for producing a laminate, classified in class156, subclass 459.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Invention: I and II are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process such as one where the adhesive is not UV curable, e.g. a hot melt adhesive, and the curing device is not UV radiation, e.g. heat curing.

- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. A telephone call was made to Mr. Robert Kestenbaum on 8/6/02 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Application/Control Number: 09/746,732

Art Unit: 1733

5. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an

election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR

1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the 6.

inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the

currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the

application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR

1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Conclusion

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to John L. Goff whose telephone number is 703-305-7481. The

examiner can normally be reached on M-Th (8 - 5) and alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Michael Ball can be reached on 703-308-2058. The fax phone numbers for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9310 for regular

communications and 703-872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

an st

John L. Goff August 6, 2002

Page 3